



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/057,431 | 01/25/2002 | Peter Paasch Mortensen | 10127.200-US | 9691 |
| 25908 7590 08/12/2008 NOVOZYMES NORTH AMERICA, INC. 500 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 1600 NEW YORK, NY 10110 | | | EXAMINER DEJONG, ERIC S | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1631 | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/12/2008 PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|
| <i>Interview Summary</i> | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/057,431 | MORTENSEN, PETER PAASCH | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | ERIC S. DEJONG | 1631 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eric S. DeJong (USPTO). (3) ____.

(2) Michael Krenicky (Appl. Rep.). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 06 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chandler et al. (US Patent No. 6,268,222).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview comprised a discussion of proposed claim amendments that may overcome the rejection of claims under 35 USC 102(e) over Chandler et al. The interview further comprised a discussion of proposed claim language to overcome the rejection of claims under 35 UCS 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric S DeJong/
Examiner, Art Unit 1631

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an
Attachment to a signed Office action.